UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/674,669	09/30/2003	Mario Elmen Tremblay	8598MR	5011
	7590 05/10/201 R & GAMBLE COMP	EXAMINER		
Global Legal Department - IP			ZHENG, LOIS L	
	Sycamore Building - 4th Floor 299 East Sixth Street CINCINNATI, OH 45202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CINCINNATI,			1733	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/10/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/674,669	TREMBLAY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	LOIS ZHENG	1733				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 February 2011</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 17 and 20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 17 and 20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to be a second or because the drawing of the drawing o	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) Mail Data	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	nte				

Application/Control Number: 10/674,669 Page 2

Art Unit: 1733

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

1. Claim 17 is amended in view of applicant's amendment filed 28 February 2011.

Claims 1-16 and 18-19 are canceled. New claim 20 is added. Therefore, claims 17 and 20 are currently under examination.

Claim Interpretation

- 2. Since applicant's invention includes a non-membrane electrolysis cell that further comprises a non-conducting porous flow barrier, the examiner interprets the language "non-membrane electrolysis cell" to mean an electrolysis cell that does not include an ion-selective membrane. The "non membrane electrolysis cell" as recited in claims 16-17 does not exclude other porous membranes/separator/barrier/diaphragm/spacer that is not ion-selective or ion-conducting.
- 3. The term "non-conducting porous flow barrier" as recited in claim 17 is interpreted to mean a porous barrier/separator/spacer/membrane/diaphragm that is not electrically conducting and/or ionically selective/conducting and is capable of restricting flow of electrolyte in an electrolysis cell.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

5. Claims 17 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Buckley et al. US 6,632,347 B1(Buckley) in view of Kaczur et al. US 5,106,465 (Kaczur), and further in view of Capuano et al. US 4,542,008(Capurano).

The rejection of claim 17 is rejected for the same reasons set forth in paragraph 6 of the Non-Final Office Action mailed 3 December 2010.

In addition, the amended feature regarding the slow dissolving tablet of halogen dioxide salt "comprising a mixture of said halogen dioxide salt and a dissolution control agent" as recited in claim 17 and the actual types of dissolution control agent as recited in claim 20 are directed to materials that are worked upon by the claimed electrolysis cell to form the intended halogen dioxide product. It is well settled that material worked upon by a structure being claimed does not impart patentability to the claims. See MPEP 2115.

Claims 17 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable 6. over Kelley US 6,306,281 B1(Kelley) in view of Capuano, and further in view of Buckley, and further in view of Kaczur.

The rejection of claim 17 is rejected for the same reasons set forth in paragraph 7 of the Non-Final Office Action mailed 3 December 2010.

In addition, the amended feature regarding the slow dissolving tablet of halogen dioxide salt "comprising a mixture of said halogen dioxide salt and a dissolution control agent" as recited in claim 17 and the actual types of dissolution control agent as recited in claim 20 are directed to materials that are worked upon by the claimed electrolysis cell to form the intended halogen dioxide product. It is well settled that material worked

upon by a structure being claimed does not impart patentability to the claims. See MPEP 2115.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 28 February 2011 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In the remarks, applicant argues that Kaczur and Buckley are not combinable because Kaczur produces chlorine free chlorine dioxide and Buckley produces a solution with a predetermined level of free chlorine.

Applicant's argument is directed to how the operation of the apparatuses of Kaczur and Buckley, not directed to the compatibility of the structures of Kaczur's and Buckley's apparatuses. Both Buckley and Kaczur discloses electrolytic cells and Kaczur further teaches utilizing a porous platinum coated titanium anode due to its high surface contact area and high corrosion resistance(col. 4 lines 41-63) which are beneficial to an electrolytic process. Therefore, the examiner maintains that one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to incorporate the porous platinum coated titanium anode of Kaczur into the electrolytic cell of Buckley in order to maximize the surface area and achieve high corrosion resistance as taught by Kaczur.

Applicant further argues that Buckley and Kaczur do not teach claimed " slow dissolving tablet of halogen dioxide salt comprising a mixture of said halogen dioxide salt and a dissolution control agent".

The examiner does not find applicant's argument convincing for the same reason set forth in paragraphs 5-6 above.

Application/Control Number: 10/674,669 Page 5

Art Unit: 1733

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LOIS ZHENG whose telephone number is (571)272-1248. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00am - 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/674,669 Page 6

Art Unit: 1733

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/ Roy King/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1733

LLZ